Cultural Considerations: All Recommendations from the Governor's Task Force needs to incorporate a cultural consideration framework that, at a minimum, takes into consideration language, development of a diverse workforce, and data that attends to issues related to disproportionality and disparities.

Completed

In Progress and/or Plans to Address E Planned For 2017 Legislative Proposal E Planning in Progress via Implementation Workgroup

	Recommendation	Reason for Recommended Sequencing	If Completed, Where Addressed (e.g. statute, Guidelines, etc.) If Not Completed, What Category	Responsible Parties	Notes
1	 Revise the Public Policy statement which begins Minnesota's Reporting of Maltreatment of Minors Act to include child safety as the paramount consideration for decision making. 	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation 	• M.S. 626.556, subd. 1(a)	DHS	
2	The Minnesota Legislature should repeal the statutory provision barring consideration of screened out reports. The use of prior screened out reports when considering a new referral should be permitted and encouraged. The screening guidelines should be updated to reflect this change. It is recognized that prior history is an essential element in screening and assessing maltreatment reports. Records of screened out reports should be maintained for five years to make this change in practice effective.	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation 	 M.S. 626.556, subd. 7(b) Screening Guidelines (page 24 of Dec. '15 version) 	Screening Workgroup/Legislators	
3	 Make intake/screening decisions, whether a report is screened in or out, in consultation with a Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT) or, minimally with a supervisor. 	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation 	 Screening Guidelines (page 22 of Dec. '15 version) 	Screening Workgroup	 MN.IT/SSIS improvements in process
4	 Review, revise and establish clear Child Protection Intake, Screening, and Track Assignment Guidelines a) Review and revise the Guidelines on an annual basis. The Guidelines should also include best practices for the treatment 	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation 	 a) & c) - Screening Guidelines revised and published 10/1/15 & 1/1/16. 		•

	of reports from intake through track				
	assignment. This process should include				
	input from a cross-section of				
	professionals involved with children and				
	families, including law enforcement,				
	mental health professionals and				
	physicians. The screening review				
	committee must seek significant input				
	from counties, tribes and county		• b) – M.S. 626.556, subd. 7a		
	attorneys. The reviewing committee,				
	should at minimum, refer the Guidelines				
	to the Minnesota County Attorney's				
	Association for review and comment as				
	county attorneys are responsible for				
	providing legal advice to social services				
	during the screening and assessment				
	process. Collaboration up front will help				
	reduce conflicting interpretation.				
	b) Require counties and tribes to use the				
	Minnesota Guidelines for receiving and				
	screening reports of children				
	maltreatment as a baseline. The				
	Guidelines should not be modified				
	without written authority from DHS.				
	c) Rewrite the Guidelines to supplement				
	references to Minnesota statutes with				
	plain and understandable language.				
5.	DHS should provide additional guidance on	⊠Deadline (1/2016)		Screening Workgroup	
	screening as set forth below:	⊠Legislative Action (2015)	a) Screening Guidelines (pages 17-19		
	a) Establish a required information standard	□ Technology/Infrastructure	of Dec. '15 version)		
	for reports received at child protection	\Box Ease of Implementation			
	services intake. This standard would				
	specifically describe information that				
	must be gathered, if obtainable, and				
	documented in all cases. However, the				
	inability of the reporter to provide this				

 minimal information should not be decisive to whether a report is screened in. This information should minimally include: Description of allegations Child's injury/condition as a result of the alleged maltreatment Information that the child may be of American Indian heritage Description of the child's current location, functioning, special needs and vulnerability Description of threats to child safety 			
 Name, age, gender, race, ethnicity of all members of the household and their relationships to each other, address, phone numbers, places of employment, child's school, daycare, or child care 	 b) Screening Guidelines (page 19 of Dec. '15 version) Quality of information documented in reports reviewed through CQI Screening Reviews c) Examples in Screening Guidelines 	b) N	Needs SSIS work
 Presence of domestic violence How the family may respond to intervention Reporter's name, if given, relationship to the family, and source of information 	d) Scrooning Guidelings		
 Consideration of the safety of all children in the household and all children of the alleged offender, whether the offender's children reside in the household or elsewhere. 	 d) Screening Guidelines Bullets 1 & 2 - page 48 of Dec '15 version (physical abuse) Bullet 3 - pg 24 prenatal exposure to AOD, pg 25 "reports involving AOD" section (Dec. '15 version) 		

b) Ensure county and tribal agencies are	• Bullet 4 - page 45 & 46 of Dec.	
recording reports received, reports	'15 version	
screened in, and reports screened out.		
This will permit future evaluation and use		
of prior screened out reports. It will also		
permit a true measure of the number of		
reports screened by county and tribal		
agencies. The documentation should also		
identify referrals to early intervention		
services and/or pertinent community	e) Screening Guidelines (page 56 &	
services and resources.	57 of Dec. '15 version)	
c) Consider additional nonexclusive		
examples in the guidelines of what may		
be considered when making screening		
decisions, even when the report is made		
by someone other than a police officer or		
health care provider, including but not		
limited to:		
Reports of driving under the		
influence with children present		
Medical neglect reports		
Mental and emotional harm		
reports.		
d) Provide additional guidance on criteria		
for screening in a report of child		
maltreatment to include:		
A description of behavior or an		
action that a reasonable person		
would conclude may have		
resulted in maltreatment of a child		
 Injuries to or a condition of the 		
Injuries to or a condition of the child that a reasonable person		
would construe to be a result of		
maltreatment		
maineaimein		

	 Guidance on screening cases 				
	involving parental drug/alcohol				
	use and factors for consideration				
	including the age of the child, the				
	type of drug involved, drug use in				
	the home regardless of whether				
	the children are present, prior				
	services to the parent for				
	chemical use concerns.				
	• Educational neglect and truancy.				
	The Guidelines must be amended				
	to reflect that school absences				
	are often the symptom or				
	indicator of another problem				
	such as mental health issues				
	involving the child or within the				
	family, chemical use of the child				
	or within the family, physical or				
	sexual abuse, and/or other				
	expressions of neglect.				
	e) Guidance as to limiting pathway response				
	assignment to Differential Response				
	where similar issues/concerns and/or the				
	same family unit as received a previous				
	child protection services response.				
6.	Require the professional receiving and	⊠Deadline (1/2016)		Screening Workgroup	• First paragraph – DHS in support of
	documenting the report of child maltreatment to	⊠Legislative Action (2015)			this; would require legislation.
	be a child welfare professional with a minimum of	□Technology/Infrastructure			Training will be incorporated into
	a bachelor's level degree and someone who has	Ease of Implementation			training academy
	completed training specific to child maltreatment				Need better structure in SSIS to
	intake provided by DHS. If a county lacks capacity				document work force
	and need based on minimum volume of				demographics/characteristics
	maltreatment reports, the county could consider				
	establishing multi-county collaborative models		2nd paragraph: Screening		
	for screening and accepting reports of child		Guidelines – page 22 & 23		
	maltreatment.				

	The professional receiving and documenting the report should not be the only professional making the final screening or pathway decision on that report. In the absence of a team-based screening, the screening decisions must be confirmed by the Social Work Supervisor or the Social Work Supervisor's designee. Input from other professionals, such as law enforcement, mental health professionals and physicians can strengthen decisions and should be encouraged. DHS should work with counties to form models to implement a multi-disciplinary approach to					
	screening. Screeners and/or supervisors should consult with the County Attorney's Office when there is ambiguity regarding whether a case should be screened in or out, and on all agency					
	policies implementing screening decisions.					
7.	Screen new reports in as duplicate reports when they include the same allegations that are currently receiving a child protection response. When a new report is received that contains different allegations than what are currently being responded to, the new report will be screened and assigned based on the new allegations.	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation 	•	Screening Guidelines – pg 19, 20 & 21	Screening Workgroup	 Pending MN.IT/SSIS action
8.	Require local county and tribal child welfare agencies to take a report even if that county/tribal agency is not responsible for the screening of a particular report because of jurisdictional issues. This ensures the information is received and does not require additional action by the reporter. The receiving county/tribal agency must then immediately refer the report to the jurisdictionally appropriate county/tribal agency of screening responsibility. The Social	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action(2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	•	Screening Guidelines – pg. 27	Screening Workgroup	 Pending MN.IT/SSIS action

	Service Information System (SSIS) system should be modified to create a drop down selection for "transfer" to reflect the protocol for the processing of these referrals.				
9.	DHS should make Information Technology (IT) changes necessary to ensure accessibility across the state system to maltreatment reports, including narrative justification for screening decisions and other pertinent records across counties. These changes must allow screeners to gather information about prior or current social service involvement when evaluating a new report. It should include information about specific services offered/completed/refused/failed, as well as prior court involvement. The planning process to include tribal social service reports should begin as well.	□ Deadline □ Legislative Action ☑ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation		DHS	 In process with MN.IT/SSIS; moving forward
10.	DHS should coordinate with the State Court Administrator to require reporting of Orders for Protection (OFP) and Harassment Restraining Orders (HRO) where a child was present, or dismissals of the same.	 □Deadline ☑Legislative Action (2017) ☑Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Domestic Violence Response model) ☑Ease of Implementation (Difficult) 	•	Domestic Violence Workgroup	 Domestic Violence Work Group convened in March Domestic Violence Work group meetings have ended. Summaries of their work and/or practice guidance is currently being developed. Upon completion of draft(s), next step is for information to be reviewed by Recommendations Implementation Work group and others. (11/14/16)
11.	DHS should further develop practice models to not close cases where an OFP or HRO has been filed due to the high number of dismissals of these actions shortly after filed and reunification of the victim and perpetrator.	 □ Deadline △ Legislative Action (2017) ○ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Domestic Violence Response model) ○ Ease of Implementation (Difficult) 	•	Domestic Violence Workgroup	 Domestic Violence Work Group convened in March Domestic Violence Work group meetings have ended. Summaries of their work and/or practice guidance is currently being developed. Upon completion of draft(s), next step is for information to be reviewed by

12.	Complete, at intake, a search of a family's pertinent Child Protective Services (CPS) and Child Welfare records as well as CPS records of any person named by report as a suspected offender. This should include, at minimum, a complete records review of the electronic Minnesota Public Access Court Records system. DHS should work with the Judicial Branch to ensure access to all relevant court records, not just those publically accessible, when it would be helpful to enhance child protection. Additionally, data practices must be amended to allow the agency access to Statewide Supervision System by the individual assigned to complete the child protection Traditional and/or Differential Response. DHS should work with the Department of Corrections to ensure access to all statewide supervision records for purposes of completing a child protection services response.	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016 for 1st half of recommendations) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation (Difficult- tied to recommendations #47 & #48) 	Category: Child Protection Practice 1st half in Guidelines - pg. 38 Links to Recommendation #47 & #48	Screening Workgroup- 1 st half of recommendations. DHS/Implementation Workgroup 2 nd half of recommendations.	• • •	Recommendations Implementation Work group and others. (11/14/16) 2 nd half requires statutory amendment and consultation with DOC; CP should not have access to their database/system Sealed records- juvenile records- levels of predatory offenders CCWIS Requirements: connect to other data systems DOC database- what does research tell us for screening determinations. What are criminal variables that contribute to child abuse/neglect Committee to focus on data- sharing- access- would legislators permit this- requires legislation We have better access through electronic filing- OFP's, etc Use collateral contacts- call law enforcement How and when it will be used and at what decision points. Best Practice around partnerships & MDT decision making.
13.	Send all reports of maltreatment to law enforcement, regardless of whether the report is screened in or screened out.	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation 	 Screening Guidelines – pg. 31 	Screening Workgroup	•	
14.	Amend the mandated reporter statute and screening guidelines to allow screeners to seek collateral information from mandated reporters when making a screening decision.	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation 	 M.S. 626.556, subd. 7(b) Screening Guidelines – pg. 27 	Screening Workgroup	•	Statutory change required; currently gives permission to contact parents prior to screening

15.	Clarify statutory provisions addressing the release of data to mandated reporters to state that child protection agencies must provide relevant private data of a child affected by the data to mandated reporters who made the report, except in limited cases where it is not in the best interest of the child. Further, county agencies should be encouraged to provide such communication to other mandated reporters who did not make the original report when that mandated reporter has an ongoing responsibility for the health, education, or welfare of a child and the information is pertinent to the mandated reporter's caring for a child.	 ☑ Deadline 1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	• M.S. 626.556, subd. 10j	Legislator, Screening Workgroup, & DHS "Best Practices Guide" for assessment & investigation	 Will be in "Best practices guide" for assessment & investigation
16.	 Amend Substantial Child Endangerment to include: a) Injury to the face, head, back, or abdomen of a child under the age of six and injury to the buttocks of a child under age three. Bruising to the buttocks of a child over age three does not preclude a traditional response. The Department, after consultation with counties, tribes and stakeholders, will develop and provide guidance for responding to allegations involving injuries to a child's buttocks to differentiate between "reasonable and moderate physical discipline of a child administered by a parent or legal guardian which does not result in an injury" and "physical injury inflicted by a person responsible for the child's care on a child other than by accidental means". The department will include this guidance 	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	 a) Screening Guidelines (pg. 48) indicate these should be FI response 	Screening Workgroup	 b) – e) appear to already be in statute as proposed by the Task Force

	as part of its 2016 reporting		
	submission to the legislature in 2016.	• e) Screening Guidelines – pg. 34	
b)	Neglect that substantially endangers the		
	child's physical or mental health,		
	including a growth delay, which may be		
	referred to as failure to thrive, which is		
	due to parental neglect.		
c)	Withholding a medically indicated		
	treatment from a child with a life		
	threatening condition unless exempted in		
	Minnesota Statute 260C.007 subd. 6 (5).		
d)	Abandonment of the child which is		
	defined as occurring when a parent has		
	no contact with their child on a regular		
	basis and has not demonstrated		
	consistent interest in the child's well-		
	being.		
e)	Behavior that constitutes "a pattern of		
	past child abuse", as referenced in Minn.		
	Stat. § 609.223, subd. 2, which is defined		
	as an act committed against a minor		
	victim that constitutes a violation of the		
	following laws of this state or any similar		
	laws of the United States or any other		
	state: section 609.221 (Assault		
	1); <u>609.222</u> (Assault 2); <u>609.223</u> (Assault		
	3); <u>609.224</u> (Assault 5); <u>609.2242</u>		
	(Domestic Assault); <u>609.342</u> (Criminal		
	Sexual Conduct 1); <u>609.343</u> (Criminal		
	Sexual Conduct 2); <u>609.344</u> (Criminal		
	Sexual Conduct 3); <u>609.345</u> (Criminal		
	Sexual Conduct 4); <u>609.377</u> (Malicious		
	Punishment); <u>609.378</u> (Neglect or		
	Endangerment of a Child); or <u>609.713</u>		
	(Terroristic Threats). Within the		
	Guidelines, the references to criminal		

	statutes must be included in plain			
	language along with the statutory			
	reference.			
17.	language along with the statutory	□ Deadline □ Legislative Action (2017) □ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Domestic Violence Response model) □ Ease of Implementation (Difficult)	Domestic Violence Workgroup	 Domestic Violence Work Group convened in March Screening Guidelines – pg 51 & 57 – but does not require a 24-hour response because not included in definition of substantial child endangerment. Would require a statutory change. Domestic Violence Work group meetings have ended. Summaries of their work and/or practice guidance is currently being developed. Upon completion of draft(s), next step is for information to be reviewed by Recommendations Implementation Work group and others. (11/14/16)
	severity, or h) Weapons were used or threatened, or			
	i) Threats of kidnapping, suicide, or homicide.			

18.	DHS must develop and provide guidance for a Domestic Violence Child Protective Services Response Track as part of its response continuum. Amend the definition of medical neglect in Minnesota Statute 626.556, subd. 2(f) (7) to state that medical neglect does not need a diagnosis from a physician to be screened in. In addition, medical neglect should be broadened from medical neglect of an "infant" to medical neglect of a "child". The current definition is a cross- reference to the definition in Chapter 260C which is for cases in court and is too restrictive for the reporting and screening in statute. Amend the statutory definition of "physical	□ Deadline ⊠ Legislative Action (2017) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation	Screening Guidelines – examples on pg. 43 • M.S. 626.556, subd. 2(k)	DHS/Legislator	 Propose amendment to change definition in statute from "infant" to "child"
19.	Amend the statutory definition of physical abuse" set forth in Minn. Stat. 626.556, subd. 2 (g), to delete the language "that are done in anger or without regard to the safety of the child." Instead, the statute should simply state that "Actions which are not reasonable and moderate include, but are not limited to, any of the following:" (1-10 which includes throwing, kicking, burning, cutting, etc.)	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation 	 M.S. 626.556, Subd. 2(K) Screening Guidelines – pg. 48 		
20.	 Amend the definition of "Threatened injury" under Minnesota Statutes 626.556, subd. 2 (n) to include: a) Child who was exposed prenatally to chemical or alcohol use. This is measured by a child who tests positive for any chemical, including alcohol, that is not prescribed to the mother or any mother who tests positive any time during the pregnancy or delivery for a chemical, including alcohol, not prescribed to her; 	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (2017) ∞ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- workgroups meeting addressing specific areas including prenatal exposure and domestic violence) ∞ Ease of Implementation (Difficult) 	Category: Child Protection Practice Link to #48 attempt for 2017 Legislative as well as current workgroup activities/outcomes (Prenatal Exposure & Domestic Violence)	Domestic Violence Workgroup Alcohol and Other Drug/Prenatal Exposure workgroup Implementation Workgroup	 Will be discussed at DV work group Consider AOD/Prenatal Exposure work group We have active workgroups- can we check with them before proceeding (DV, SEY, tribal-Shirley can present) What are the implications for resources Adds alcohol Adds in the home Adds voluntary

(DRAFT) Progress on Implementation of Recommendations (DRAFT)

Governor's Task Force on the Protection of Children

 b) Domestic violence where a child is present in the home at the time of the alleged abuse; c) Exposing a child to someone whose parental rights were terminated or whose parental rights were transferred to another following the filing of an involuntary petition of termination of parental rights or an involuntary transfer of legal and physical custody to another, regardless of whether the termination or custody transfer was deemed voluntary or involuntary. 				Broader review of statute
 21. Require efforts to notify the other parent of a Traditional (TR) or Differential Response (DR): a) If the DR or TR will not be compromised, the other parent should be notified at the same point as the custodial parent of the report and DR or TR. b) If the DR or TR will be compromised, the other parent should be notified as soon as possible once the threat of the interference with the DR or TR is removed. c) Notification should not occur in the event an OFP or HRO is in place unless the agency determines that the notification is in the best interests of the child. d) The other parent should be provided with notification of the TR or DR outcome including the services that are offered to the custodial parent and child. e) To obtain contact information for the other parent, the agency may utilize the information available through the child 	□ Deadline □ Legislative Action □ Technology/Infrastructure ⊠ Ease of Implementation (Medium)	Need follow-up	DHS/Implementation Workgroup	 On legislative placement list (pg. 43 of Legislative Task Force report)

	 support enforcement unit to the extent not inconsistent with federal law. f) In no case shall the inability to locate or notify the other parent impair the agency's ability to respond to the 				
22.	Maltreatment report. Amend the statutory definition of "Investigation" under 626.556 subd. 2 (b) and subd. 10 (a) (1) to clarify that investigation must be used, at a minimum, for all cases that involve substantial child endangerment or high risk allegations of harm, neglect, or injury to the child. Currently the statute is being misinterpreted to limit investigation to only cases involving substantial child endangerment. In addition, "Investigation" will be renamed as "Traditional Response".	□ Deadline ⊠ Legislative Action (2017) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation	includes discretionary assignment	Screening Workgroup	 Propose amendment to change "investigation" language to "Traditional Response"
23.	Change the statutory definition of reports to: "Report" means information given to the responsible agency or law enforcement which describes alleged child maltreatment and which includes enough information to identify the child victim and the child's caretaker or the alleged offender.	□ Deadline ☑ Legislative Action (2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation	• M.S. 626.556, subd. 2(m)	Legislator	•
24.	DHS should work with counties, tribes and other stakeholders and experts to examine the possible development of a statewide child abuse and neglect reporting system creating one number with a system to route calls to the appropriate local child welfare agency. Local county and tribal child welfare agencies would be permitted to maintain practices for accepting reports of suspected maltreatment and the decision making authority on how to handle the reports would remain with counties. The statewide system should be able to route calls 24 hours per day, seven days per week, necessitating counties to	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Funding/statutory changes, etc) ∞ Technology/Infrastructure ∞ Ease of Implementation (Difficult) 		DHS/Implementation Workgroup	 Develop a specific work group with members with expertise in the frontend (intake, screening, assessment, investigation) Work group would need to look at SSIS capacity CCWIS- review Review reports of results of screening reviews

have d	lesignees in place to accept calls outside of		
	Il business hours. In designing this new		
	n, the following items should be considered:		
	Creation of a steering committee		
, i	composed of state, county, and		
	community stakeholders as well as		
	individuals with telephone experience.		
b)	Review of New York's and Colorado's		
	statewide systems and outcomes to see if		
	they have created greater quality in		
	intake and screening leading to increased		
	child safety.		
c)			
	abuse reporting hotline with calls routed		
	to the appropriate county or tribe.		
d)	Review for impact recording may have on		
	a reporter's willingness to freely share		
	critical information regarding a child and		
	a family		
e)	Exploration of a "cloud" system for		
	interactive voice response, call data, call		
	recording, and consideration for data		
0	practices implications.		
f)	Accommodations for callers who do not		
	speak English and accessibility for people		
	who are deaf or have hearing		
	impairments. A public awareness campaign to promote		
g)	the statewide hotline and reporting of		
	suspected child maltreatment.		
b)	Central record-keeping and tracking of		
1)	both "reports" and "inquiries".		
i)	Process by which counties can opt to		
')	have DHS or another county to receive		
	reports and inquiries on their behalf.		

 j) Standardized training and certification for all staff prior to taking reports and inquiries. k) Consistency in information gathering. l) Adequate staffing and resources for counties and the state to implement the hotline, especially with anticipated increased reports with the visibility of a single state-wide number. m) Continuous quality improvement: listening to audio taped calls and providing training, feedback, coaching to workers and supervisors. n) System-side data collection. o) State hotline administration/unit, help desk functions and escape features from automated system to talk to a live person. 25. DHS should, as part of redesign review, engage an independent reviewer with expertise in child protection services to review Minnesota's child maltreatment screening statutes, guidelines, and practice and make recommendations on needed changes to complete the shift to a system focused on the best interest of the child. The review should address and provide recommendations on the following: Appropriateness of the rate of screened out reports and screened in reports and the resulting impact on child safety Are the parameters reflected within the scope of Minnesota's child maltreatment screening statutes appropriately designed to ensure child safety 	□ Deadline □ Deadline □ Legislative Action (Funding) □ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- after completion of response path continuum work) □ Ease of Implementation	Link to #47	DHS/Implementation Workgroup	 Revisit after response path continuum completed. Research project with estimated cost of \$500,000 for external evaluation
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(DRAFT) Progress on Implementation of Recommendations (DRAFT)

Governor's Task Force on the Protection of Children

	 guidelines appropriately designed to ensure child safety Is Minnesota's practice for receiving and screening reports of child maltreatment sufficiently assessing and responsive to child safety Are there recommended strategies or system modifications that could better ensure uniformity in practice across the state. 				
26.	Revise the guidelines to provide explicit guidance on reports related to older children. Presently, too many older children do not receive adequate protection or services. Often their avoidance response to abuse/neglect makes them particularly vulnerable: running away, joining a gang, using drugs and entering endangering relationships. More thorough assessment must be done and alternative living arrangements with statutory authorization should occur.	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ∞ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- current initiatives are in process including homeless youth and runaway youth) □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Older Youth • Screening Guidelines – pg. 26 DHS work plan, Interagency Council on Homelessness, proposal for 2017 Legislative Session, DHS Bulletin- Runaway Youth	DHS/State Youth initiative committees	 Partially complete Resources for homeless youth- Link, Outfront, Reclaim, Host Homes, etc What does our data say 2 generational models Partnerships with children's mental health & department of corrections Increase pressure on foster care resources IV-E, Fed \$'s changing Coordination with housing resources Independent living Emancipation
27.	Review and change the focus of Chapter 260C of runaway/truancy CHIPS from punishing/addressing only the juvenile's problems to a whole family assessment to look to the reason for the behavior. Too often the running and truancy is the reaction to an underlying family problem that is not limited to the child's behavior or issues.	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ⊠ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- current initiatives are in process including homeless youth and runaway youth) □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Older Youth DHS work plan, Interagency Council on Homelessness, proposal for 2017 Legislative Session, DHS Bulletin- Runaway Youth	DHS/State Youth initiative committees	 What does current data tell us? The "how" is very different per county Review federal alignment- SEY- Homeless youth Disproportionality/Disparities – disparity Legislative change Parental accountabilities What are best practices What are the impacts to caseloads, out of home care, and resources

28.	Complete, by the Reviser of Statutes, in collaboration with DHS and Ann Ahlstrom, Staff Attorney and Co-manager of Children's Justice Initiative (CJI), an organizational revision of Minnesota Statute 626.556 to alphabetize definitions, create internal consistency, eliminate redundant language, reorganize the statute into new statutes (i.e. separating institutional investigations from non-institutional investigations), and correct internal references and references to other statutes.	□ Deadline ⊠ Legislative Action (Legislative Task Force) □ Technology/Infrastructure ⊠ Ease of Implementation (Difficult)	Legislative Task Force	Legislative Task Force	 When are our partner organizations in this Child well-being assessment to transitioning to adulthood Legislative Task Force workgroup charge
29.	Rename Family Assessment to Differential Response (DR) and Family Investigation to Traditional Response (TR). This renaming would be consistent with national practice and help avoid confusion when interpreting federal laws and regulations.	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ⊠ Technology/Infrastructure (Technology- SSIS, Infrastructure- link to recommendation #28) □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Child Protection Practice Link to #28 work	DHS/Implementation Workgroup	 Needs further analysis Would require legislation Impact (paperwork, staff) SSIS Messaging- pamphlets, newsletters Nationally recognized language (research & practice) DR & Investigation vs TR
30.	 Differential Response and Traditional Response are both involuntary child protection responses to reports of alleged child maltreatment. It is critical that either response provide a critical and methodical assessment of child safety while identifying key family strengths that can be built upon to mitigate safety and risk concerns. The goals of any child protection response should be to: Make child safety paramount in a decision making 	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation 	 Screening Guidelines – pg. 54 	Screening Workgroup	•

(DRAFT) Progress on Implementation of Recommendations (DRAFT)

Governor's Task Force on the Protection of Children

32.	 Assess and ensure the safety of any child involved Conduct thorough fact finding to determine if a child has been harmed and/or if services are needed Identify family strengths to mitigate risk factors and ensure child safety Be culturally affirming Coordinate and monitor services to families Address effects of maltreatment through trauma-informed interventions Promote child well-being and permanency Increase positive outcomes (i.e., reduced re-reports, avoid subsequent harm). Make child safety the focus of any child protection response. The statute should no longer identify Differential Response as the preferred method. Interview children individually first and prior to contact with parent/legal guardian whenever possible. In addition, DHS should research and implement training on best practices in regards to child interviewing protocols. These protocols would be developed in consultation with content experts, cultural advisors, counties and other key stakeholders. Specific practice guidance should be provided regarding audio recording of interviews, locations of child interviews, and interview techniques that are culturally responsive and trauma-informed. Child safety 	Deadline Deadline Legislative Action (2015) Technology/Infrastructure Ease of Implementation Deadline Legislative Action Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- "Best Practices Guide" for assessment & investigation) Ease of Implementation	Legislators Screening Workgroup & DHS	 Will be in "Best practices guide" for assessment & investigation Some curricula/training revisions in process (Foundation WBTS and classroom) "Minnesota's Best Practices in Family Assessment and Family Investigation' was released statewide on 10/17/16. (11/14/16)
	responsive and trauma-informed. Child safety must be the primary guide as to when and how to structure interviews.			

33.	Ensure fact-finding occurs in all child protection	Deadline	Awaiting approval	Screening Workgroup	Partially completed through Screening
	responses. DHS should develop protocols to	Legislative Action	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Implementation Workgroup	Guidelines, Best practice guide and
	support thorough fact-finding. At minimum,	⊠ Technology/Infrastructure			SDM manual
	information to be gathered should include	(Infrastructure- partially			 If continue with SDM will need to
	gathering details from a variety of sources	completed via Screening			better address/incorporate protective
	including the alleged victim(s), sibling(s),	Guidelines and Best Practice			factors
	parent(s), and other relevant collateral contacts	Guide. MN.IT/SSIS			 Discussions occurring regarding safety-
	regarding:	enhancements needed)			organized practice
	Who, what, when, where and how	\Box Ease of Implementation			 MN.IT/SSIS Enhancement Request has
	regarding the reported allegation				been submitted
	Patterns of behavior that present risk to a				 "Minnesota's Best Practices in Family
	child (i.e., recentness, frequency,				Assessment and Family Investigation'
	duration, severity)				was released statewide on 10/17/16.
	Harm (current and historical) and its				(11/14/16)
	respective impact it has on said child				(22) 2 (20)
	 Protective parental capacities (e.g., 				
	knowledge of parenting and child				
	development; nurturing and attachment;				
	parental resilience; social and emotional				
	competence; concrete supports in times				
	of need; and social connections)				
	• Child vulnerability factors (e.g., age,				
	disability, etc.)				
	 Family and/or child(ren) strengths that 				
	promote resiliency				
	• Context and times within the family when				
	the child is safe as a starting point for				
	additional safety planning or services.				
	DHS should develop a required case summary				
	form for Traditional Response and Differential				
	Response cases in the Social Service Information				
	System (SSIS) where results of fact-finding must				
	be documented. This would include details				
	surrounding the reported allegations and include				
	a statement about whether or not the reported				

	maltreatment incident occurred and identify the				
	victim(s) and offender(s).				
	Data from this case summary form will be				
	gathered and tracked to identify county, tribal,				
	and state trends.				
3	 Disciplinary Team (MDT) decision making by developing the infrastructure to support the development of MDTs across the state. The MDT infrastructure would address: Philosophy behind MDTs MDT specific training An evaluation component Ongoing training for MDTs. Any and all statutes, policies, and/or practice guidance that discourage use of MDTs should be 	□Deadline □Legislative Action □Technology/Infrastructure ⊠Ease of Implementation (Easy to Medium)		DHS	 Planning for MDT Conference underway Unclear about the evaluation component 2016 MDT Conference was held on 11/4/16. There were 184 professionals representing 26 different counties registered to attend. (11/14/16)
	discontinued.				
3	screening tools for data gathering prior to pathway assignment to strengthen the quality of the information available.	 Deadline (1/2016) Legislative Action (2015) Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Screening Guidelines will guide tool development) Ease of Implementation 	Screening Guidelines	DHS & Screening Workgroup	 Tools can be developed based on Guidelines; will require a contract
3	pathways for responding to reports of alleged child maltreatment. The dual pathways should include Traditional Response (Family Investigation) and Differential Response (Family Assessment). Explicit criteria for immediate assignment of High Risk and Low Risk allegations	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Screening Guidelines and updates of tools to guide next steps regarding moderate risk) □ Ease of Implementation 	 Screening Guidelines – pg. 56-59 	Screening Workgroup Implementation Workgroup DHS	 3rd bullet (moderate risk) yet to be addressed

37.	 Low Risk (Reports of alleged child maltreatment that are clearly low risk. These are reports that exclude all Substantial Child Endangerment and Moderate and High Risk. Additional criteria is necessary to ensure the proper parameters that clearly define a maltreatment report as low risk)- Differential Response All other cases, which include those with moderate risk and those which are difficult to assign without additional information (excludes all Substantial Child Endangerment). These maltreatment referrals require fact-finding before track assignment can be made. DHS is to provide guidance on necessary fact finding inclusive of collateral contacts and face-to-face interviews with child subjects and parents or caregivers. DHS must develop, in consultation with counties, tribes, stakeholders and subject matter experts, a required information standard for making pathway response determination. This standard should reflect what is required and be implemented with a practice understanding that more information is better. Fact finding must occur until such time the pathway assignment 	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	Screening Guidelines – pg. 56-59	Screening Workgroup	
	implemented with a practice understanding that				
38.	DHS shall, in consultation with counties, tribes, subject matter experts, and stakeholders, define clear and consistent pathway assignment criteria to either pathway including a definition for cases	 ☑ Deadline (1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure 	• Screening Guidelines – pg. 57	Screening Workgroup	•

appropriate for Differential Response. Cases that	Ease of Implementation		
clearly should follow pathway assignment into			
Traditional Response will be assigned within 24			
hours, consistent with the substantial child			
endangerment statute. DHS should develop			
guidance regarding the timing for those cases			
that require initial fact finding.			
Criteria should also be provided for when path			
switching is or is not allowed and identify specific			
documentation requirements to support the			
decision. It is important to note that pathway			
determination should not extend any existing			
timeframes for the initial face-to-face contact			
with the alleged child victim. These criteria should			
be developed on or before December 31, 2015. In			
addition to existing statutes that define specific			
child protection responses for defined actions			
(i.e., Substantial Child Endangerment), other			
criteria for pathway assignment to be considered			
should minimally include:			
 Necessary fact finding before a track 			
decision is made for those alleged			
maltreatment referrals believed to			
present moderate risk			
Multiple differential response cases			
within a certain time period			
• The age of the child and other children in			
the home. The identified age should be			
based on clearly defined objectives which			
could include the risk for fatal, or near			
fatal injury, brain development, social			
isolation, or the child's ability to protect			
him/herself			
Other vulnerabilities (child is			
developmentally delayed, pre-verbal,			
etc.)			

(DRAFT) Progress on Implementation of Recommendations (DRAFT)

Governor's Task Force on the Protection of Children

		• The presence of unrelated adults in the				
		household.				
3	39.	DHS will monitor and evaluate initial pathway assignment and path changes using the established criteria and provide feedback to counties and tribes regarding the quality of decision making. A culture of continuous quality improvement should be supported and promoted. Results of pathway assignment should also be used for training and accountability.	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ∞ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- incorporate into current DHS QA activities) □ Ease of Implementation 		DHS	 Plans to add to current DHS QA staff (screening review team) responsibilities
4	40.	 DHS should immediately review, update, and validate all decision making tools with priority given to the safety assessment. In general, any tools used by DHS and counties are to have a clear purpose, to facilitate decision making at critical points in the child protection response, and that such tools are updated, and valid. In addition, that any tools adopted are culturally responsive and appropriate for families from different racial, ethnic, and socio-economic backgrounds. Overall, regarding all tools, DHS should clearly define: What decision-making tools are to be used at key decision making points along the child protection continuum The purpose for each decision making tool, and How the specific tools are to guide decision making. 	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ⊠ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- in process of revalidating Risk Assessment) □ Ease of Implementation 	In progress	DHS	 Current contract in place with CRC to revalidate the Risk Assessment Will require an advisory group comprised of county/tribal and DHS staff to review SDM and other options for tools
2	11.	Identify a validated safety assessment tool that better reflects dangerousness and child vulnerability factors. A safety assessment should address any factors proven to predict safety concerns. Some potential factors could include: • Recentness of abuse/neglect • Frequency	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ⊠ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- linked to recommendation #40) □ Ease of Implementation 	Safety Organized Practice Workgroup/led by DHS Safety Coordinator- Legislative funding needed	DHS	See #40 above

	Severity				
	 Seventy Child characteristics. 				
42.	Child characteristics. DHS should review research on protective factors and predictive analytics for how it can reduce or	Deadline	Link to #41	DHS Implementation Workgroup	• Tied to #40 & 41.
	eliminate risk factors, and implement this information in trainings and practice. This would include use of screening and assessment instruments that have been validated. This should be done through a long-term contract arrangement to improve child safety outcomes over time.	□ Legislative Action ☑ Technology/Infrastructure (Linked to recommendation #41) □ Ease of Implementation			 Lit review required – will be incorporated into training modules
43.	Require in statute a mandatory consultation with the county or tribal attorney to determine the appropriateness of filing a Child in Need of Protection or Services (CHIPS) petition in the event that a family does not engage in necessary services and child safety and/or risk issues have not been mitigated prior to closure of a child protection case, regardless of track.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	• M.S. 626.556, subd. 10m (b)	Legislators	•
44.	Include in statute the requirement for a minimum of monthly face-to-face contact with children for cases in which a family is receiving protective services while the child(ren) remains in the home.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Legislative action needed ∞ Technology/Infrastructure (Technology- SSIS modifications needed) □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Child Protection Practice DHS & MACSSA (Performance Withhold Measure)	DHS	 Would require legislation 2015 CP Allocation legislation requires monthly visits with children receiving CP case management services while residing in their homes to be eligible for withheld funds. 2016 proposal to remove that language. No other requirement/plan Who's the identified client What is research/best practice Capacity of counties & tribes to do that Location- where (homeless youth, etc.) Who should be responsible for eyes on Train this function- quality of visits

45.	Traditional Response cases should result in the following determinations: maltreatment determined (yes or no) and are child protective services needed, (yes or no). For Differential Response cases the determination would include whether or not child protective services are needed. Documentation for DR cases will include a case summary form which will include a statement that will identify if the child experienced maltreatment. This data should be entered into SSIS so that they can be reviewed in future cases and so that summary data on a county-wide basis can be collected. DHS should provide guidance on criteria and best practice for making the determinations and require supervisory review and approval.	□ Deadline ⊠ Legislative Action (Legislative action needed) ⊠ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Finalization of Best Practices Guide for Assessment and Investigations. Link to Recommendation #48) ⊠ Ease of Implementation (Difficult- CAPTA funding requirements)	Category: Child Protection Practice DHS: Link to #48 attempt for 2017 Legislative	DHS Implementation Workgroup	 SSIS documentation Statute-jurisdiction SDM Risk classification tools Professional judgment- individual level, client specific Outline and identify intended and unintended consequences Consideration of appeal procedure – impact to CAPTA funding
46.	Complete trauma pre-screenings on any child during a child protection response. DHS should pilot a trauma pre-screen tool in 2015 and expand statewide in 2016. Implementation of trauma pre-screening should be consistent with research on best practices.	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ⊠ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- pilot in process, linked to Recommendation #41) □ Ease of Implementation 	In progress and link to #41	DHS/Trauma pre-screen pilot	 Trauma pre-screen pilot being implemented in May. Trauma pre-screen pilot is underway. Five counties are participating. Results and policy development to be fully prepared spring 2017. (11/14/16)
47.	DHS should, as part of a redesign review, engage an outside expert to work with the agency, counties, tribes and stakeholders to advise, develop and implement Minnesota's child protection response continuum. This evaluation should consider when and how pathway decisions should be made and whether	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Funding) ∞ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- would require an RFP, linked to recommendation #48) 	• Category: Child Protection Practice DHS: Link to #48 attempt for 2017 Legislative	DHS Implementation Workgroup	 Would require an RFP Would require funding

	Minnesota should move to a single child protection response, albeit one with different branches and approaches depending upon how to best meet the interests of child safety and welfare. Part of this review should consider the impact of any changes which result from the work of this Task Force.	Ease of Implementation			
48.	 DHS shall convene a workgroup for further analysis and definition of threats to child safety and risk of maltreatment as the foundation for development of a comprehensive long-term child protective services response continuum. This continuum must be designed for appropriate response alignment based on child safety and risk and may include multiple pathways, depending upon the best interests of the child. This response continuum design should be completed by January 1, 2017. The workgroup shall minimally include the representation from the following agencies/disciplines: Minnesota DHS Administrative and frontline County/Tribal Child Welfare Agency staff Law Enforcement County Attorney Court Defense Attorney 	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ⊠ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- link to Recommendation #47) □ Ease of Implementation 	 Category: Child Protection Practice Link to #47 	DHS Implementation Workgroup	Connected to #47

	Guardian Ad Litem				
	Pediatrician				
	Child Development				
	Mental Health				
	 Parent(s) 				
	Child Welfare Focused Academic Institution				
	Child Safety/Risk Subject Matter Experts.				
49.	Coordinate services and financing across the system in the fields of mental health, chemical dependency, housing and other related areas within the State of Minnesota-Department of Human Services for children and families who need child protection case management services so as to prioritize services for interventions that would increase safety and reduce risk of future harm. This would promote more holistic and effective responses for children and families who have experienced trauma, abuse, neglect and/or other egregious harm to reduce recidivism into the child protection system	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Funding) □ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Disparities Grant rollout and evaluation results) □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Child Protection Resource/Funding DHS- IV-E reform	DHS	 Requires additional resources for CSP Disparities Grant and Evaluation Fiscal Note Categorize- manageability Technology Evaluation & Research Capacity
50.	Make referrals for clinical, mental health and functional assessments on children, along with their families, who receive child protective case management services, who have trauma or mental health needs identified during screening. These assessments should be conducted by	 Deadline Legislative Action Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Trauma pre- screen pilot. Linked to Recommendation #46) 	Link to #46	DHS	 Tied to trauma pre-screen (Rec # 46) Requires closer, ongoing collaboration with CMH Division Ambit work to train clinicians

	 experts in the field. For example, if significant trauma to a child has occurred, a clinical trauma assessment with a qualified mental health professional should be required. For this recommendation to be effectively implemented, resources must be allocated to counties and community providers to improve the social and emotional well-being of children to heal from trauma, as well as reducing physical harm. 	Ease of Implementation			
51	DHS should adopt a plan to monitor the provision of services and outcomes to assure that children and families receive appropriate, effective and needed services. This plan should include a periodic functional assessment of a child's well- being while in the child protection system and evaluate whether such services actually improved and benefitted children and their families.	 Deadline Legislative Action Technology/Infrastructure Ease of Implementation (Easy) 		DHS	 MnCFSR includes some components Family Strengths & Needs Assessment every 6 months; MH Screens and Physical Health screens
52	DHS should model and provide leadership to reduce disparities by making progress with key staff and leaders within DHS to become more racially conscious and culturally competent in the delivery of child welfare services. DHS must be seen as an effective leader in this effort to ensure that policies and practices are assessed to enable decision making and oversight that does not perpetuate more racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic disparities.	□ Deadline ☑ Legislative Action (2013) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation	DHS- ERG's (Employee Resource Group) with Dr. David Everett (Diversity and Inclusion Consultant)	DHS Cultural and Ethnic Communities Leadership Council	 2013 legislation established the Cultural and Ethnic Communities Leadership Council whose purpose is to advise the commissioner on reducing disparities that affect racial and ethnic groups.
53	Support the development of "cultural navigator" and parent mentor positions to act as liaisons with racial and ethnic communities, using a community health worker model. Ideally, this person would be from the same culture as the family being engaged and graduate from a rigorous training program with a certification, to	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	•	DHS	Disparity grants

Governor's Task Force on the Protection of Children

54	 ensure an understanding of the child welfare system. The role of this position would be to: Help parents and the child welfare/child protection worker communicate more effectively. Help parents understand, navigate and ultimately meet the requirements of the child protection and court system. Facilitate connecting families with culturally relevant services. 			DUC	
54.	DHS should identify and link previous and current disparities work to future intervention strategies aimed at racial equity and disparity reduction.	 Deadline Legislative Action (2015) Technology/Infrastructure Ease of Implementation 	•	DHS	 In process. Division staff designated for American Indian disparities work. Equity pilot.
55.	Develop a certification program that would prepare students and current workers and supervisors to work in specific cultures through field placements/internships.	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ⊠ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- DHS CW Training system, collaboration with U of MN/CASW) □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Workforce Development DHS CW Training, U of MN/CASW	DHS U of MN/CASW	 Partially outside of DHS scope – universities and colleges Field placements/internships outside of DHS scope Certification program for all new workers through proposed Training Academy that could consider ties to specific competencies.
56.	Promote and improve the representation of racial and ethnic communities' among child protection and child welfare ranks using recommendation #55.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Funding) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Workforce Development U of MN/CASW- longer term work/funding.	U of MN/CASW Legislator	 Deliverables in IV-E scholars contract with universities/colleges Recruit, train and maintain Native American Social Workers especially in high Native American population communities Supervisory training-recruitment and retention at all levels Train and active recruitment. IV-E does not capture the majority of our workforce. Ongoing training Who can we partner with?

(DRAFT) Progress on Implementation of Recommendations (DRAFT)

Governor's Task Force on the Protection of Children

					 What are the institutional barriers? (i.e. having "buzz words") Merit system Workforce shortage in some parts of the State. Retention- how to retain & promote/advance. Initial application, screening criteria- how do they impact ability to recruit and hire? What are other State's doing?
57.	Develop culturally supportive services that assist children in transitioning home following an out of home placement as a means to prevent foster care re-entry. With additional funding, request for proposals (RFP's) could be submitted in support of this service.	 Deadline Legislative Action (2015) Technology/Infrastructure Ease of Implementation 		DHS	 Disparity grants (parent mentors is part of service array) Results of disparity grant evaluation may result in identification of practices, services etc. to expand. Initiative Tribes
58.	DHS should include representation from the African American community, tribal representation and other underrepresented groups in the development of policy guidance, and best practice strategies and protocols.	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ⊠ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- workgroup make up, and ongoing communication with tribes) □ Ease of Implementation 	 Diverse representation on workgroups/committees 	DHS	Ongoing
59.	DHS should to provide clear policy and practice guidance about the need to include a tribal representative as part of a multi-disciplinary team whenever a case of a tribal child is reviewed.	□ Deadline ⊠ Legislative Action (2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation	 Screening Guidelines – pg. 23 Best practices in assessment & investigation document 	Screening Workgroup	•
60.	 Expand Initiative Tribes. This will: Support tribes in their ability to provide the types of child welfare services they know to be culturally meaningful and effective with their children and families. 	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (2016: Planning Grant 2017: Initiative funds for Red Lake and Mille Lacs) □ Technology/Infrastructure 	 2016 Legislative Session Planning Grant 2017 Legislative Session Initiative funds for Red Lake and Mille Lacs 	DHS Legislator	 Proposed legislation n 2016 – pending Planning underway with Red Lake Nation and Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe (11/14/16)

61.	 Improve county and tribal government relationships and establish methods to measure success in this area. Improve child safety, permanency, and well-being outcomes for American Indian children served by these programs. ¹ Recognize and actively support the sovereignty of Tribal Governments. The state should directly fund more front-end services, including prevention and early intervention that have the capacity to promote safety, reduce risk and promote healing from abuse and neglect. This may include the direct funding of services for families involved in the child protection system and allow DHS to work creatively with providers to support the service array. This allows for more proactive service delivery by providing services to families before concerns reach higher risk warranting involuntary services and to also reduce re-occurrence into the child protection system. 	□ Deadline □ Deadline □ Legislative Action (Funding) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation	DHS- IV-E Reform and 2017 legislative funding	DHS Legislator	 Pending legislation to expand PSOP Pending legislation to expand child care allocation
62.	 Increase monitoring and evaluation: Monitor and report disparities, as well as outcomes for African American and American Indian children and families, using the Social Services Information System and review indicators Identify areas of underrepresentation and pilot methods to promote access for those populations who are not yet visible to the system 	 □ Deadline ○ Legislative Action (2015) ○ Technology/Infrastructure (Technology- Tableau) □ Ease of Implementation 	 Disparities grant Tableau 	DHS	 Equity pilot project planning underway (AI families) Development of ICWA compliance in process 2010 disparities report updated

¹ The American Indian Child Welfare Initiative is a collaboration between tribal, county and state governments with the shared goal of improving the child welfare outcomes for American Indian children, and reducing the disproportionate number of American Indian children in the state's child welfare system. Data reveals promising results. Tribal programs exceed statewide performance on federal child welfare outcomes measures in areas such as relative care and placement stability. Programs participate in the Minnesota Children and Family Service Reviews, federal Title IV-E audits and fiscal audits conducted by the department.

63. Research on cultu that wor Indian fa overrepr Also, tra self-awa betweer appropri with fam	Work with the Human Services Performance Council to further develop new data reporting, gathering, and analysis methods, instruments and procedures to track county performance measures and accountability as it relates to demographic indicators for children. This information should be used to increase action steps to improve child welfare Dedicate a section of future annual child welfare report to racial equity in which specific measures are followed through a lens of race and ethnicity Use information and apply the outcomes to increase action steps to improve child welfare Develop and use an external advisory committee including stakeholders and service recipients to assist in monitoring and evaluating outcomes. h, identify, develop curriculum and train rally affirming approaches and practices rk with African American and American amilies, the two populations resented in the child protection system. inings should include cultural and racial ireness, professional ethics, the difference n equal access and equity, and culturally iate ways to delivery services and work nilies. Training should be provided to child professionals and supervisors as well as	□ Deadline □ Deadline □ Legislative Action (2017) □ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Child Welfare Training System) □ Ease of Implementation	 Trainings currently available through Child Welfare Training System; will be integrated into new academy model. 	Professional Development Workgroup	• Ongoing
other sy64.	stem stakeholders. services that can be replicated and scaled und them with dollars to operate. These	 Deadline Legislative Action 	•	DHS	 Evaluation of disparity grants
	should be evaluated and research used				

	to build promising practices in order to provide a	⊠Technology/Infrastructure			
	research base for interventions that are	(Infrastructure- Disparities			
	responsive to racial and cultural communities.	Grant evaluation outcomes)			
		Ease of Implementation			
65.	Enhance the Minnesota Child Welfare Training			Professional Development	Professional Development Workgroup
	System:	⊠Legislative Action (2017)	2017 Legislative Funding	Workgroup	ended in March
	A. DHS should develop a Workforce Training	□ Technology/Infrastructure			
	and Oversight Advisory Group (comprised	\Box Ease of Implementation			• Legislation required – plan to do 2017
	of state, county, tribal, communities of		1) Completed		legislative proposal to address A.4)
	color and academic representatives) to				and C.
	advise DHS Child Welfare Training System				
	to:		2) Workgroup developed framework		
	1) Develop, review and/or revise		that includes tiered delivery		
	competencies for child protection		system.		
	workers and supervisors,				
	2) Identify workforce training needs				
	and gaps, and				
	3) Consider development of a tiered				
	child protection pre-service				
	training program which would				
	include:		3) Estimated 18-24 months for		
	a) Online orientation		implementation. Will take		
	training that child		legislation and funding		
	protection workers would				
	be required to complete				
	prior to case assignment.				
	b) Tier I: Deliver basic				
	theoretical and				
	philosophical foundations				
	upon which to build child				
	protection specific				
	knowledge and skills. This				
	would be required for all				
	newly hired workers				
	without social work				
	degrees.				

c) Tier II: Deliver child		
protection specific	B. Certification process outlined in	
knowledge and skills. This	framework structure	
would be required for		
workers who complete		
Tier I and those hired		
with social work degrees.	Working on potential statutory	
4) Implement a Child Protection	language for supervisors	
Training Academy that will		
include scenario-based training		
for child protection staff,		
supervisors, and managers. This		
training would replace the		
current Child Welfare Foundation		
Training currently required for		
new child protection workers.		
DHS should explore various		
modalities for delivering training,		
including online or Web-based		
training, to make training more		
accessible.		
The Academy should address the		
following topic areas:		
a) Intake		
b) Screening		
c) Differential Response		
d) Traditional Response		
e) Trauma-informed care		
f) Culture and biases		
g) Injury identification		
h) SSIS case documentation		
i) Minnesota rules and		
statutes.		
B. DHS should develop a certification		
process that includes completion of the		
training(s), structured on-the-job training		

(DRAFT) Progress on Implementation of Recommendations (DRAFT)

Governor's Task Force on the Protection of Children

66.	 activities, successful demonstration of applicable competencies and verification from the staff/supervisor's employment agency of completion of prescribed training and activities. C. Require all new child protection workers, supervisors and managers with child protection supervisory responsibilities to complete the training(s) and certification(s) specific to their job duties and responsibilities prior to or within 180 days of employment and as a condition of employment. Establish requirements for competency-based initial training and continuing education for new 	Deadline		Professional Development	Outlined by Professional Development Work group Will mirror competencies
	and existing child protection supervisors.	 ☑ Legislative Action (2017) ☑ Technology/Infrastructure ☑ Ease of Implementation 		Workgroup	Work group. Will mirror competencies for CP workers.
67.	DHS should continue to support the IV-E educational programs available through Minnesota colleges and universities.	 Deadline Legislative Action Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- IV-E Scholars contract) Ease of Implementation 		DHS	 Ongoing through IV-E Scholars contract
68.	Expand the existing student loan forgiveness program in Minnesota to include Social Work graduates who are employed as child protection/child welfare social workers. The program will reduce debt encumbered while earning a social work degree in exchange for a social worker taking a child protection position for a minimum of two years post-graduation. A goal of the program should be that agencies are able to recruit and hire social workers with diverse backgrounds that match the population being served.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Funding) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Workforce Development Legislators or University Systems	Legislators and/or University Systems	 Loan forgiveness beyond social work- other fields Merit system Fiscal note Partners-university Wage increase Purpose? Workforce shortage- new/learning What models support diverse workforce Barriers- scrutiny licensing, workload, \$

69.	Require local agencies, with the support of DHS, to develop and submit a comprehensive Secondary Traumatic Stress (STS) support plan which will support the workforce in the identification and treatment of STS.	 □ Deadline ○ Legislative Action (2017) ○ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Professional Development Recommendations and CASCW framework) □ Ease of Implementation 	2017 Legislative funding	Professional Development Workgroup DHS CASCW	 Discussed by Professional Development Work group. CASCW pulling together a research- based framework Would take legislative action for "require" Training Academy
70.	Require license mandated reporters to submit evidence of completion of mandated reporter training as a requirement for licensure/re- licensure, and develop a certificate of completion that can be printed upon completion of DHS online mandated reporter training.	□ Deadline ⊠ Legislative Action □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation	Category: Mandated Reporters/Community Stakeholders Legislator and/or Licensing Boards. DHS can generate a certificate process.	Legislator and/or Licensing Boards	 Licensing boards/legislation Current online training being revised by DHS, certificate won't be possible Helps with screening decision Non-licensed mandated reporters Statute/legislation Fiscal note
71.	DHS should develop a variety of Web-based trainings for mandated reporters on multiple topic areas that expand beyond the specific responsibilities for reporting suspected child maltreatment, e.g. culture and bias.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Funding) ∞ Technology/Infrastructure (Technology- compatibility due to accessibility standards) □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Mandated Reporters/Community Stakeholders Legislator for DHS funding	Legislators DHS	 No funds to do this DHS accessibility standards create significant barriers Technology Stakeholder (impacted) IV-E impact- not reimbursable Exploitation-Federal changes- county response- impact to stakeholders
72.	Require child protection staff, supervisors and managers to participate annually in advanced training developed by DHS in collaboration with the workforce training and oversight advisory group as a condition of continued employment.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Funding) ∞ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Child welfare Training System/Academy) □ Ease of Implementation 		Professional Development Workgroup	 Strengthen statutory language Proposal to include new Learning Management System for Child Welfare Training System/Academy
73.	DHS should, in collaboration with the workforce training and oversight advisory group,	□Deadline □Legislative Action		DHS	In process through collaboration between Children's Justice Act (CJA)

	Department of Public Safety, the Department of Health and the Minnesota County Attorney's Association, develop curriculum that fosters a multi-disciplinary approach to responding to reports of child maltreatment. This training should be offered, minimally, on an annual basis to county/tribal child protection staff, law enforcement, medical professionals and county attorneys. DHS is encouraged to use the formerly provided TEAM Conference as a model for development.	□Technology/Infrastructure ⊠Ease of Implementation (Easy)			•	Advisory Team and Child Welfare Training System Goal is for TEAM like conference in November.
74.	DHS should explore the fiscal implications of making Child Welfare Training System trainings available to stakeholders and community members.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Funding) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Mandated Reporters/Community Stakeholders Legislator for DHS funding	Legislator	•	IV-E impact- not reimbursable – must be State funds
75.	DHS, in consultation with the Minnesota Department of Health, should redesign the current child mortality review process to include two separate processes, one specifically for reviewing child fatalities and near fatalities due to maltreatment and/or suspected maltreatment; the other to review fatalities and near fatalities not due to maltreatment. a) Public Health Review Model: • Purpose: Review child fatalities and near fatalities related to accidents, suicides, SIDS, natural causes, and other fatalities and near fatalities not related to maltreatment • Focus: Developing and issuing community-based prevention messages • Process: Utilize the process currently being used to review all	 ☑ Deadline (7/1/2016) ☑ Legislative Action (2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	a) Completed (current process) b) Will be implemented by 7/1/16	Fatality/Near-Fatality Workgroup	•	Fatality/Near-Fatality Work group - concluded in March.

child fatalities and near fatalities		
in Minnesota.		
 b) Child Protection Mortality Reviews: 		
 Purpose: Review child fatalities 		
and near fatalities due to child		
maltreatment, and those that		
occur in licensed facilities that are		
not due to natural causes		
• Focus: Critical examination of the		
elements of the case and the		
agency's involvement with the	Will be covered by state mortality	
child and child's family. Review	review panel.	
would also attend to the		
secondary-trauma involved with		
the worker, supervisor and		
agency.		
 Process: Develop a new process 		
in which DHS mortality review		
staff lead and conduct the on-site		
local mortality review, and utilize		
child protection supervisors from		
other counties as peer reviewers		
in the process. The reviews would		
include developing a program		
improvement plan to address any		
practice issues identified through		
the review, and define technical		
assistance needs of the		
respective county.		
This would include developing a process for		
Mortality Reviews of Deaths and Near Death		
Reports by a multi-disciplinary committee		
inclusive of representation of MN DHS, local		
county/tribal child welfare agencies, county		
attorneys, physicians, and other child welfare		

S	takeholders. The review process should expand			
	he information currently provided to the public			
	o include:			
	 a) The cause and circumstances regarding the child fatality or near fatality; b) The age and gender of the child; 			
	c) Information describing any previous			
	reports of child abuse or neglect, whether			
	screened in or not, that are pertinent to			
	the abuse or neglect that led to the child			
	fatality or near fatality;			
	d) DHS should explore the Child Abuse and			
	Prevention Act requirements for the			
	possible inclusion of any previous reports			
	involving all children in the household as			
	public information; e) Information describing any previous			
	investigations/assessments pertinent to			
	the abuse or neglect that led to the child			
	fatality or near fatality;			
	f) The result of any such			
	investigations/assessments;			
	g) The services provided by the local child			
	welfare agency and actions of the local			
	child welfare agency on behalf of the			
	child that are pertinent to the child abuse			
	or neglect that led to the child fatality or near fatality;			
	h) The review should look at the entire			
	system from the point of the mandated			
	reporter making a report through the			
	case court process.			
	OHS should continue with Minnesota Child and	Deadline	Legislator	Would require additional staff
	amily Service Reviews (MnCFSRs) in counties and	⊠Legislative Action	DHS	resources
	ribes, and increase the frequency of reviews in	(Funding)		
C	ounties with small populations of children.	□Technology/Infrastructure		

		□Ease of Implementation			
77.	DHS should identify outcome measures for child safety and child well-being. This data should be used to determine the effectiveness of interventions and system improvements.	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ○ Technology/Infrastructure (Infrastructure- Performance Withhold measures, Human Services Performance Measures, Federal data indicators) □ Ease of Implementation 		DHS	 Child & Family Service Review (CFSR) Safety, Permanency &Well-being Outcomes Federal data indicators Child Welfare Data Dashboard measures Performance withhold measures Human Service Performance Council measures
78.	 Address workload/caseload size issues: a) Short-term: Establish workload standards for child protection workers and supervisors as follows: No more than 10 child protection case management cases per worker Newly hired child protection workers will carry no more than three quarters of a caseload and will not carry high-risk cases until certification through the Child Protection Training Academy Establish a supervisor-worker ration of 1:8. b) Long-term: DHS, in collaboration with the Workforce Training and Oversight Advisory Group, should: Review methodologies for establishing caseload/workload standards that considers weighting of cases based on factors such as type of case, case complexity, out-of-home placement, court involvement, etc. Following review, DHS 	□ Deadline ⊠ Legislative Action (2017) □ Technology/Infrastructure ⊠ Ease of Implementation (Difficult-funding needs and geographical diversity of MN)	Category: Child Protection Workload/Caseload DHS & MACSSA- 2017 Legislative Session	DHS and MACSSA	 Prepare data and fiscal note for 2017 legislative proposal. County survey. What to do regarding b), c) and d)

	 recommends implementing caseload/workload standards. Review and make recommendations for establishing an optimal supervisor to staff ratio. c) Enhance the workload analytic tool to make it user-friendly for local agencies and provide training on the use of the tool. d) Make enhancements to SSIS that allow for the gathering and review of caseload and workforce information that minimally allow for examination of caseload sizes, identification of education backgrounds of child protection staff and supervisors, and monitoring of completion of required 				
	training.				
79.	DHS should continue to conduct the statewide review of screened-out reports which started in the fall of 2014. DHS should have the authority to require a child protection response from the local agency based on the screening review. Summary results of reviews should be public information and produced on an annual basis by DHS. Legislative oversight following publication of these reports is encouraged.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Statutory authority language review in process) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 		DHS	 QA staff hired; continuing/expanding reviews Legal review being requested to determine DHS authority Summary results – possibly include in Annual CW Report
80.	 Change and expand the role of the Minnesota Office of Ombudsperson for Families by: a) Renaming to "Minnesota Office of Ombudsperson for Children and Families"; b) Expand scope to include all Minnesota children and families (257.0762, Subd. 1); c) Include a specific reference to M.S. 626.556, Reporting of Maltreatment of 	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: CP Legislative Task Force	CP Legislative Task Force	Legislative Task Force

	 Minors Act, to the statutorily defined duties of the Ombudsperson office (257.0762, Subd. 1); d) Require courts and social services to distribute information regarding the Minnesota Office of Ombudsperson for Children and Families in the following situations: In the early stages of a child protection investigation or assessment (social service), and When a Child in Need of Protection or Services (CHIPS) petition is filed (courts). e) Convene a committee/workgroup specifically for the purpose of exploring the expansion and placement of the Minnesota Office of Ombudsperson for Children and Families' role in oversight of child protection activities. 	Deadline		DHS	Request funding to complete
c	reporting is accurate and trustworthy, and that the opportunities for effective case management and the efficient use of human resources are greatly improved.	 Deadline Legislative Action (Funding) Technology/Infrastructure (Technology- would require a comprehensive review of SSIS) Ease of Implementation 		Uns	• Request funding to complete comprehensive review of SSIS
8	22. DHS should develop/enhance the "Child Welfare Data Dashboard" to provide counties and the public with quarterly performance updates focused on key child safety, permanency and well-being measures. These measures should parallel the measures identified from the Human Services Performance Council. DHS should also publish quarterly scorecards for local county and	 □ Deadline □ Legislative Action ⊠ Technology/Infrastructure (Technology- Tableau & SSIS) □ Ease of Implementation 	Tableau Collaboration with Human Services Performance Council	DHS	 Score card can potentially be implemented into CW Data Dashboard (includes quarterly trend) Tableau server would allow drill-down; currently seeking funding

	tribal child welfare agencies by which the				
	Department and the public can track progress				
	and performance outcome improvements. The				
	dashboard and scorecard should be designed in a				
	manner that allows local child welfare agencies to				
	drill down to client specific data.				
83.	DHS should restructure the statewide annual	Deadline	DHS- In process	DHS	Revamping Annual CW Report –
	child welfare report to focus on meaningful	Legislative Action			focusing on what's legislatively
	outcome measurements that are directed to	⊠ Technology/Infrastructure			required; Referring to CW Data
	measure whether interventions are effective and	(Technology- Tableau,			Dashboard
	whether the screening process at the front-end is	requires changes to SSIS)			Some of these components can be
	effective. As part of the annual child welfare	\Box Ease of Implementation			added to Dashboard.
	report, DHS shall include the Child and Family				• b) is not possible
	Service Reviews. The annual report is to be made				Requires changes to SSIS
	public and should contain the following sections				"Minnesota's Child Maltreatment
	and information:				Report 2015" was provided to the
	a) "Transparency" section with county				Legislature on 11/1/16. In process of
	breakdown of the following performance				publication and posting on DHS public
	measures. When issuing the				website.
	Transparency section, DHS may aggregate				The second report (regarding children
	the data from counties with populations				in out-of-home care and adoptions) is
	less than 10,000. Individual county social				due to be released soon.
	service departments and county boards				A newly revised version of the Child
	may obtain the numbers for their				Welfare Data Dashboard will be
	individual counties				posted to the web before the end of
	i. number of intake calls received				the month, and includes both federal
	ii. number of reports screened out				and state child welfare measures.
	iii. number of child protection				(11/14/16)
	responses conducted and type of				
	response pathway				
	iv. number of reports that resulted in				
	a determination of substantiated				
	child maltreatment				
	v. number of reports that resulted in				
	a determination that child				
	protective services were needed				

 vi. percentage of children seen within response pathways vii. percentage of children who return home within 12 months of removal viii. number of children who were exposed prenatally to chemical or alcohol use as measured by a child who test dpositive for alcohol or any chemical that is not prescribed to the mofter or any mother who test positive of raichol or ary chemical that is not prescribed test positive y for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to her. be pregnany or deliver y for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to her. be prefenter repeat abuse/neglect within the monther of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response within 2 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response within 2 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response within 2 months of a maltreatment finding or be recreating of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care corrections related and what percentage of children are hild percentage of childre				
response pathways wix percentage of children who return home within 12 months of removal wiii. number of children who were exposed prenatily to chemical or alcohol use as measured by a child who tested positive for alcohol or any chemical that is not prescribed to the mother or any mother who tests positive any time duing the pregnancy or delivery for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to her. is. percentage of children who experience repeat babus/neglect · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response · o within 12 months of a · o within 12 months of	vi.	percentage of children seen within		
wiipercentage of children who returnhumber of children who wereexposed prenatally to chemical oralcohol use as measured by a childwho tested positive for alcohol orany chemical that is not prescribedto the mother or any mother whotests positive any time during thepregnativy or delivery for alcohol ora chemical not prescribedto the mother or any mother whotests positive any time during thepregnativy or delivery for alcohol ora chemical not prescribedtests positive any time during thepregnative or delivery for alcohol ora chemical not prescribed to her.bprecentage of children whoexperience repeat abuse/neglectowithin 12 months of amattreatment finding orDifferential Responseovithin 12 months of amattreatment finding orprecentage of children in theaggregate and by age who exitfoster care and re-enter foster carewithin 12 months of awithin 12 months of aprecentage of children arecorrections related down to showwith a percent of children arecorrections related and whatprecentage of children arecorrections related and whatprecentage of children arecorrections related and whatprecentage of children arecorrections rel		required timelines for both		
home within 12 months of removalwill,number of children who wereexposed prenatally to chemical oralcohol use as measured by a childwho tested positive for alcohol orany chemical that is not prescribedto the mother or any mother whotests positive any time during thepregnancy or delivery for alcohol ora chemical not prescribed to ther.ixpercentage of children whoexperience repeat abuse/neglectowithin 6 months of amaltreatment finding orDifferential Responseits percentage of children in theaggregate and by age who exitfoster care and re-enter foster carewithin 12 months of aaggregate and by age who exitfoster care and re-enter foster carewithin 2 months of aaggregate and by age who exitfoster care and re-enter foster carewithin 12 months of aaggregate and by age who exitfoster care and re-enter foster carewithin 12 months of aaggregate and by age who exitfoster care and re-enter foster carewithin 12 months of aaggregate and by age who exitfoster care and re-enter foster carewithin 12 months of aaggregate and by age who exitfoster care and re-enter foster carewithin 12 months of aaggregate and by age who exitfoster care and re-enter foster carewithin 12 months of a motherpercentage of children are childpercentage of childr		response pathways		
 viii. number of children who were exposed prenatally to chemical or alcohol use as measured by a child who tested positive for alcohol or any chemical that is not prescribed to the mother or any mother who tests positive any time during the pregnancy or delivery for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to ther. ix. percentage of children who experiment finding or Differential Response • within 12 months of a mattreatment finding or Differential Response x. percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are childr	vii.	percentage of children who return		
 exposed prenatally to chemical or alcohol use as measured by a child who tested positive for alcohol or any chemical that is not prescribed to the mother or any mother who tests positive any time during the pregnancy or delivery for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to ther. ix percentage of children who experience repeat abuse/neglect o within 6 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response ix percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child percentage of children are within 12 months. The data should ix child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-estaff) 		home within 12 months of removal		
alcohol use as measured by a child who tested positive for alcohol or any chemical that is not prescribed to the mother or any mother who tests positive any time during the pregnancy or delivery for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to her. Note experience repeat abuse/neglect • within 6 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response • within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response • within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response • within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response * percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children ar child percentage of children ar child percenta	viii.	number of children who were		
who tested positive for alcohol or any chemical that is not prescribedto the mother or any mother who tests positive any time during the pregnancy or delivery for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to her.ik.percentage of children who experience repeat abuse/neglectowithin 6 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Responseowithin 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Responsex.percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit fost crare and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are children are child percentage of children are child percentage of children are children are corrections related and what percentage of children are children are children are child percentage of children are children are children are child percentage of children are children are child percentage of children are child percentage of children are children are children are children are children are children are child percentage of children are		exposed prenatally to chemical or		
any chemical that is not prescribed to the mother or any mother who tests positive any time during the pregnancy or delivery for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to her. b. percentage of children who experience repeat abuse/neglect • within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response • within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response * percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff)		alcohol use as measured by a child		
to the mother or any mother who tests positive any time during the pregnancy or delivery for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to her. ix. percentage of children who experience repeat abuse/neglect • within 6 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response • within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response *. percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related *. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff)		who tested positive for alcohol or		
tests positive any time during the pregnancy or delivery for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to her.ix.percentage of children who experience repeat abuse/neglectowithin 6 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Responseowithin 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Responseowithin 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Responsex.percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data shouldbfoster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data shouldwhat percent of children are corrections related and what precentage children are child protective services relatedxi.child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (Including supervisor and line-staff		any chemical that is not prescribed		
pregnancy or delivery for alcohol or a chemical not prescribed to her.ix.percentage of children who experience repeat abuse/neglect•within 6 months of a mathreatment finding or Differential Response•within 12 months of a mathreatment finding or Differential Response•before a children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child purcetve services related*i.child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates*i.child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates		to the mother or any mother who		
a chemical not prescribed to her. ix. percentage of children who experience repeat abuse/neglect • within 6 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response • within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response • percentage of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related • child protection worker caseload mumbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff		tests positive any time during the		
 ix. percentage of children who experience repeat abuse/neglect within 6 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response x. percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child percentage of children are chil		pregnancy or delivery for alcohol or		
 experience repeat abuse/neglect within 6 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response x percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related xi child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff 		a chemical not prescribed to her.		
 within 6 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response within 12 months of a gagregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff 	ix.	percentage of children who		
maltreatment finding or Differential Responsewithin 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response.percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child percentage of children are child percentage of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child percentage of children are thild percentage of children are thild percentage of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are thild protective services related thild protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff		experience repeat abuse/neglect		
Differential Response o within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response Differential Response bifferential Response vithin 12 months of a aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related with in the child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff		 within 6 months of a 		
 within 12 months of a maltreatment finding or Differential Response percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff 		maltreatment finding or		
maltreatment finding or Differential Responsemaltreatment finding or Differential Responsex.percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster caremaltreatment finding or aggregate and by age who exitfoster care and re-enter foster caremithin 12 months. The data shouldmatter for the data shouldbe further broken down to showmatter for the data shouldmatter for the data shouldgreentage of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services relatedmatter for the data shouldxi.child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staffmatter for the data should		Differential Response		
Differential Responsex.percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster carefoster care and re-enter foster carewithin 12 months. The data shouldbe further broken down to showwhat percent of children are corrections related and whatpercentage of children are childpercentage of children are childpercentage of children are childpercentage of children are childpercentage of children are childprotective services relatedxi.child protection worker caseloadnumbers and turnover rates(including supervisor and line-staff		 within 12 months of a 		
 x. percentage of children in the aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff) 		maltreatment finding or		
aggregate and by age who exit foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related		Differential Response		
foster care and re-enter foster care within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff	х.	percentage of children in the		
within 12 months. The data should be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services relatedxi.child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff		aggregate and by age who exit		
be further broken down to show what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child protective services related xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff				
what percent of children are what percent of children are corrections related and what percentage of children are child percentage of children are child potective services related xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates including supervisor and line-staff		within 12 months. The data should		
corrections related and what percentage of children are child percentage of children are child protective services related xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates including supervisor and line-staff		be further broken down to show		
percentage of children are child protective services related protective services related protection worker caseload xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates protection worker caseload (including supervisor and line-staff				
protective services related xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff		corrections related and what		
xi. child protection worker caseload numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff		percentage of children are child		
numbers and turnover rates (including supervisor and line-staff				
(including supervisor and line-staff				
numbers)				
		numbers)		

	xii. number/percentage of cases that			
	are reopened after being closed			
	xiii. number of cases of sexual abuse			
	that were assigned the differential			
	response track with a breakdown			
	per county and identification of the	2		
	role of the alleged offender, e.g.			
	parent, foster parent, daycare,			
	etc			
	xiv. number of cases of sexual abuse			
	that switched tracks from			
	Traditional Response to Differentia			
	Response with a breakdown per			
	county and identification of the			
	role of the alleged offender (e.g.			
	parent, foster parent, daycare, etc.			
	xv. identify federal measures and			
	standards that DHS is not meeting			
	xvi. number of traditional response and	1		
	differential response cases closing			
	at "high risk" with no services or			
	court involvement broken down			
	per county.			
	b) Number of children and/families with			
	three or more reports within the past five	2		
	years that were screened out with the			
	following details:			
	 Nature of allegations 			
	 Age of the child subject 			
	 Role of person making the report 			
	 Screening decision and 			
	justification			
	o Break out number of prior			
	reports.		2.112	
84.	DHS should, by January 2016, provide a report to		DHS	
	the Legislature that describes:	□ Legislative Action		

	 Progress on implementation of Task Force recommendations The key drivers that result in children/families entering the system. Plans for longer term child welfare reforms, including those recommended by the Task Force. 	□ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation			
85.	DHS should develop a public website for the purpose of posting information on child fatalities that is classified as public by the Child Abuse, Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA).	 □ Deadline ○ Legislative Action (Statutory review) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	Under legal review	DHS	 Addressed by Child Fatality/Near- fatality WG
86.	 Use of the following criteria by the Legislature when considering additional resources: Target funds to children and families in the child protection system while supporting state-wide consistency in provision of services Make available a full array of intervention services to support the needs of children and their families Address gaps related to disparities and use information generated to create practice change, scale-up promising practices, and inform future investments Support a family strengths-based approach and access to other services; accelerating access to these other services for children in child protection. Direct funding and fiscal incentives toward outcomes at child level Support technology for better data reporting, sharing, transparency, and outcome monitoring 	□ Deadline ⊠ Legislative Action (Funding) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation	Category: Child Protection Resource/Funding Legislature	Legislature	 Disparities Grant and Evaluation Fiscal Note Categorize- manageability Technology Evaluation & Research Capacity

	 Improve balance among federal, state and local shares Support innovation, particularly regarding addressing disparities and disproportionality in the child welfare system No supplantation of existing resources with the addition of new resources. Reward effective child protection practices and services. 				
87.	Increase funding for county staffing to carry out additional case work responsibilities (e.g., county child protection workers, county child protection supervisors and county child protection case aides.)	 □ Deadline ☑ Legislative Action (2017) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Child Protection Workload/Caseload DHS & MACSSA- 2017 Legislative Session	DHS & MACSSA	 Connected to #78 Define case management- assessment/investigation/ongoing Current ratio's- info needed-what do we need Fiscal note
88.	Provide additional funding for additional intervention services necessary to support children and families as a result of changes in screening, assessment, etc. that address needs of children and families earlier in the process of a child protection response to prevent recidivism into the child protection system.	 □ Deadline ☑ Legislative Action (2017) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	Category: Child Protection Resource/Funding DHS 2017 Legislative Session funding request	DHS	 Disparities Grant and Evaluation Fiscal Note Categorize- manageability Technology Evaluation & Research Capacity
89.	 Provide additional funding for accelerated access to services including but not limited to: Child care, Head Start/Early Head Start Home visiting for children Transitional housing and shelter, and Psychiatric/mental health services. The goal is to remove children in the child protection system from waiting lists in these programs. 	□ Deadline ⊠ Legislative Action (2017) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation	Category: Child Protection Resource/Funding DHS 2017 Legislative Session funding request	DHS	 Disparities Grant and Evaluation Fiscal Note Categorize- manageability Technology Evaluation & Research Capacity

90.	Allocate competitive grants to identify, develop, adapt and scale-up culturally affirming promising practices (e.g., mental health services, mentoring, etc.) or programs that address disparities and disproportionality in the child welfare system. Dollars should be allocated to evaluate results and apply learning to transform the child protection system to be more effective. Funding preference should be given to non-profit and grass-root community organizations that are led by or already serve communities of color, ethnic and tribal communities and low income communities.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	DHS	Disparity grants
91.	Increase funding for state oversight, including monitoring, training, child fatality reviews, grant management, quality assurance, etc.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Funding) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	Legislature	•
92.	Increase funding for intake and screening tools to promote more robust data gathering during the intake and screening process.	 □ Deadline ∞ Legislative Action (Funding) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation 	Legislature	•
93.	DHS should, absent sufficient funding, prioritize all recommendations to develop a multi-year implementation plan.	□ Deadline ☑ Legislative Action (2015) □ Technology/Infrastructure □ Ease of Implementation	DHS	